Is ACP led discharge of acute surgical patients safe in Surgical Assessment Unit within a busy Teaching Hospital?

A Thomas ,C Ashworth, V Heald, T Earley, K G Pursnani, V D Shetty, J B Ward Department of Surgery, Royal Preston Hospital, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. Anjaly.Thomas@lthtr.nhs.uk



BACKGROUND

- Lancashire Teaching Hospitals is one of the largest trusts in the country, providing district general hospital services to 380,000 people in Preston and Chorley, and specialist care to 1.6m people across Lancashire and South Cumbria.
- Royal Preston Hospital is a busy tertiary trauma centre and sub-specialist centre for Major Trauma, Neurosurgery, Oncology, Vascular, Sub-spec cancer surgery, Plastics, Renal Medicine.
- Around 9500 patients per annum attend Surgical Assessment Unit(SAU) as per Trust audit ,2021.
- Acute Surgical admissions to our SAU are triaged and assessed by Advanced Clinical Practitioners (ACPs) who organise investigations including CT scans and initiate an appropriate management plan.
- Patients subsequently undergo senior medical review, ideally within 4 hours of admission.
- Retrospective audit showed an average of 4 patients/week with normal investigations and resolved symptoms wait beyond 4 hours for senior review prior to discharge.

AIM

- The aim of this study was to assess whether ACP led discharge is safe.
- Data analysis was done over 54 weeks of ACP led discharge of selected acute referrals conducted from April 2022- March 2023.

METHODS

- Definite inclusion and exclusion criteria were agreed by ACPs and senior surgical consultants for patient selection for ACP led discharge.
- Patients discharged on this pathway received open access to SAU for 24hrs and those who required further review received Surgical Hot Clinic appointment. Patients discharged with no further follow up received next day telephone review.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria			
	INCLUSION CRITERIA	EXCLUSION CRITERIA		
✓	Age 16 -65yr old –generally fit and well	❖ Age above 65yrs old		
✓	Blood results-normal/base line	Multiple co-morbidities		
✓	Normal findings on abdominal and PR exam (were indicated)	 Current Cardiorespiratory condition Recent MI, PE 		
✓	Absence of symptomatic inguinal, femoral or abdominal wall hernias	 Currently on high dose of PPI 		
✓	Imaging (if clinically indicated) USS or CT -	Long term steroids		
	normal or non-acute findings-refer/discuss accordingly to relevant speciality.	Current episode of drug overdose		
✓	Normal/no new finding in Erect Chest Xray-	* Excessive alcohol intake /dependence		
	(if clinically indicated -for upper abdominal pain)	Drug dependence /illicit drug use		
✓	Normal/no new changes in ECG (for upper	 Immunosuppression- on immunocompromising medications 		
✓	abdominal pain) NEWS score: 0 or 1, vital signs stable as per	Known autoimmune/immunodeficiency disorders with ongoing treatment.		
Ť	baseline for patient	Known cancers, active chemotherapy		
✓	Pain managed with simple analgesics, does not require repeated doses of oral morphine	 Previous abdominal, ovarian or haematological malignancies. 		
✓	Nausea and vomiting resolved, managing to	History of transplant		
	eat and drink, good urine output, bowels movements as per baseline	Multiple abdominal surgeries		
✓	Right iliac fossa pain with onset of	Pain not resolved with simple analgesic despite of normal blood results and imaging		
	symptoms < 24hrs with normal findings- next day review in SAU or Hot Clinic.	 Non conclusive findings in imaging (eg: appendix not visible, suggestion for other imaging) 		
✓	Urine –routine ward test- negative (if positive treat as per trust antibiotic	* Known Inflammatory bowel disease		
	guidelines)	Known history or Family history of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms		
√	Urine pregnancy test-negative (if positive refer to Early Pregnancy Unit)	Recurrent episodes of similar presentation with		
✓	Lower abdominal pain with H/o	recent discharge.		
	gynaecological problems-refer to gynae once surgical pathology is ruled out	❖ Failed discharge –return in 48hrs		
✓	Constipation- if no underline cause, treat accordingly			

Shared decision making with patient

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Safely discharged 86 patients over 54 weeks

Median age:27yrs(range:16-65),Gender: Female 72, Male 14

No failed discharges or unplanned readmissions in 48hrs

Follow Up

41 patients received follow up: Surgical Hot clinic review 26

Next day review in SAU 5(4 patients received SAU review and Hot clinic in 72 hrs)

Gynae Hot clinic review 12, Gynae transfer as inpatient 2

45 patients required no further planned follow up

Imaging:66 patients had imaging(USS 51,CT 15,CXR 5,both USS&CT 5) 20 patients required no imaging

Next day telephone review: 49 patients (patients discharged with planned follow up were excluded from next day telephone review from week 6 of this pilot)

Waiting time reduced: median time 1hr45 minutes (range:1.25 hrs-4.5hrs) (after investigations including imaging)

Summary of Diagnosis

Diagnosis	Total number of Patients
NSAP(Non-Specific Abdominal Pain)	32
Ovarian Pathology	12
UTI (Urinary Tract Infection)	10
Gastritis	14
MSK (Musculo-Skeletal)	6
PID (Pelvic Inflammatory Disease)	1
Post Op wound	5
Other (constipation, mesenteric adenitis)	6

CONCLUSION

- ACP led discharge is safe in a selected group of acute surgical patients.
- This reduces the workload of a busy on call surgical team in dealing with less acute diagnoses, as well as making pathways more efficient.
- This model may be suitable for further expansion, although robust exclusion and inclusion criteria should be agreed.

References

- 1.https://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/ae-waiting-times-and-activity/
- 2.https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng94/documents/guideline-appendices
- 3. https://stpsupport.nice.org.uk/urgent-emergency-care/index.html
- 4.https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/library-and-publications/rcs-publications/docs/emergency-general-guide

Acknowledgments: Surgical ACP team, Surgical Consultants, SAU Nursing and Management team.